In re: Wells Fargo Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Colyer Lloyd Dev. Inc. et al 1:11-cv-4264-KOB

NOTICE TO PARTIES:

I have been contacted by Judge Bowdre who presided over the above-mentioned closed case.

Judge Bowdre informed me that she recently learned that while she presided over the case her husband owned stock in Wells Fargo. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted her decisions in this case. However, her husband's stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Bowdre directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Bowdre's disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response **on or before August 12, 2021**. Any response will be considered by another Judge of this court without the participation of Judge Bowdre.

Sheren R. Danis

Sincerely,

Clerk of Court